

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 648/1D340-US 07/31/98 LEONARDI 09/127,059 EXAMINER HM12/0714 BERNHARDT, E PAPER NUMBER ROBERT C SULLIVAN ART UNIT DARBY & DARBY 805 THIRD AVENUE 1624 NEW YORK NY 10022 DATE MAILED: 07/14/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy U.S. G.P.O. 1999 460-693 PTO-90C (Rev 2/95)

	_			
	Application No. 09/127,059	Applicant(s)	s) LEONARDI et al.	
Advisory Action	Examiner Emily Bernh	ardt	Group Art Unit 1624	
HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]  a)  expires	of the final rejection, or on uperiod for the response expire a under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the bean filed is the date of the gamount of the fee. Any extatutory period for response of the Notice of Appeal later). See 37 CFR 1.19  Jun 30, 2000 has being for allowance:  eal and an Appeal Brief.  Further consideration and, note below).  ion in better form for appeal incelling a corresponding incelling a corresponding in the service of the service in the servic	proposed respressions and ension fee puri- as set forth in filed on	conse and the appralso the date for the suant to 37 CFR 1 b) above.  CFR 1.192(a).  ed with the following control of the contr	ropriate fee. The he purposes of .17 will be .17 will be (or within any for within any for within any  owing effect, ).  r simplifying the gims.
Applicant's response has overcome the follo				
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the	non-allowable claims.			f submitted in a
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration allowance because:	tion has been considered	but does NO	)T place the ap	pilcation in condition
<ul> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim</li> </ul>	ns is as follows (see attac	hed written	explanation, if	
Olding abjected to: 3 19 and 20				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s), PTO-1449, Pag	oer No(s)	·	
☑ Other <i>PT 0-89</i> 2				EMILY BERNHARDT PRIMARY EXAMINES ART UNIT 1624

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 8-95) Application/Control Number: 09/127059

Art Unit: 1624

## ADVISORY ACTION

The amendment filed 6/30/00 cannot be entered for more than one reason. The narrower genus now presented for main claim 1 would require additional consideration and search as to patentability under 35 USC 102 and/or 103. At the very least the Plilai reference while no longer anticipatory in view of new proviso 4 in the new genus would be applicable under 35 USC 103 since the closest instant compounds embraced in previously anticipated claims would have an extra methyl group on phenyl ring in B and/or in Ar/Ar' rings and thus would be sufficiently similar to be held as obvious variants based on case law such as In re Wood 199 USPQ 137; In re Lohr 137 USPQ 548; In re Fauque 121 USPQ 425. Additionally the new definition for B rings would raise another description issue since the 2 examples mentioned in the specification are not enough to support rings of varying number and nature of hetero atoms in both rings of the fused ring systems. If the amendment were entered only #3 under par.two would be overcome. Intended scope of "acyl" and "amido" would remain unclear. While applicants have presented references showing these terms to be referring to carboxylic groups, it still is not known from applicants' specification what kind of groups are attached to the C(O) in acyl or amido or to the N atom in the amides. Alkyls? Alkenyls? Aryls? Heterocycles? Note however, Hackh's Dictionary provides a definiton for these terms that is more extensive as other organic acid radicals for acyl and other organic amides for amido are given as examples. Thus these terms have varied and quite extensive meanings when viewed from differing sources which renders the scope indefinite and nonenabled.

Application/Control Number: 09/127059

Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

## ADVISORY ACTION

Reason #2 under par.two as well would remain as well as corresponding rejection under par one. The only atoms positively recited as ring members are N for the 5-membered ring and O for the 6-membered ring. "Containing" doesnt exclude other atoms as ring members. Where terms are not clearly defined in the specification they must be the given their broadest, reasonable meaning. Note last paragraph, right column on p.423 of In re Wiggins 179 USPQ 421.

The new matter rejection would still remain for the newly amended B group as discussed above. The Ward reference would no longer be applicable against claims 1,2,4 and 5 but would remain for claim 21 for reason given previously. Plilai would no longer anticipate the claims in view of the new proviso in claim 1 but would be applicable under 103 as discussed above. Note the typographical in the new proviso- Y should be "CH".

Nonelected subject matter still remains and needs to be cancelled or other appropriate action taken as indicated in the final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Emily Bernhardt at telephone number (703) 308-4714.

A facsimile center has been established for Group 1600. The hours of operation are

Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile

machine are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

EMILY BERNHARDT FRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1209 / G 0-0